

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 ROBERT CRUZ and DARWIN DIAS,

No. C 08-0244 MEJ

9 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER RE JOINT STATUS REPORT

10 vs.

11 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
12 et al.,

13 Defendant(s).
14 _____/

15 The Court is in receipt of the parties' Joint Status Report, filed March 11, 2009. (Dkt. #22.)
16 Although Plaintiffs argue that the City's settlement approval process has been unreasonably delayed,
17 the Court finds that the process appears to be proceeding in the ordinary manner by which it has
18 seen other settled cases with the City proceed. Accordingly, no court action is required at this time.
19 However, if the Mayor has not signed the ordinance approving the settlement by May 6, 2009, the
20 parties shall file an updated status report by May 13, 2009. Otherwise, the parties shall file a
21 stipulation for dismissal upon final approval of the settlement.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: March 12, 2009

24 
25 _____
26 MARIA ELENA JAMES
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28